

# **1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> Modeling Examples**

2011 RSL Modelers Workshop Atlanta, Georgia June 9, 2011

Roger Brode U.S. EPA/OAQPS Air Quality Modeling Group

## **Can AERMOD Estimate 1-hr SO<sub>2</sub> Impacts?**

- This question has been posed frequently in the context of the new 1-hr SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS
- The significant role of modeling in 1-hr SO<sub>2</sub> designations and the 110(a)(1) SIPs has highlighted the importance of this question
- Fortunately, the extensive model validation conducted to support promulgation of AERMOD provides relevant information

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

## **AERMOD Performance Evaluation**

- Evaluated on total of 17 Field Study Databases
  - 10 without Building Downwash, 7 with Downwash
  - 13 with Flat or Rolling Terrain, 4 with Complex Terrain
- Developmental and Independent Evaluations
- Compared to ISCST3 for non-downwash databases and CTDMPLUS for complex terrain databases
- Compared to ISC-PRIME for downwash databases
- AERMOD consistently outperformed ISCST3, ISC-PRIME and CTDMPLUS

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency



### **AERMOD Performance: Complex Terrain**





### **AERMOD Performance: Complex Terrain**

Tracy SF6 1-Hr Q-Q Plot (Conc.) - Version 02222





### **AERMOD Performance: Building Downwash**





### **AERMOD Performance: Building Downwash**

Bowline 1-hr Q-Q Plot ()+) - 87m Stack





#### **AERMOD Performance: Urban Dispersion**

INDIANAPOLIS SF6 1-HR Q-Q PLOT (CONC) - Version 02222





## Conclusion

- AERMOD can predict 1-hr SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations with a good degree of accuracy
- However, these comparisons are based on field studies typically conducted with robust site-specific meteorology and good estimates of actual emissions, minimizing uncertainties associated with these key model inputs
- Your results may vary